In-Person Course Observation Form

In order to develop and maintain a high standard of teaching excellence, it is necessary for faculty to be reviewed periodically and be provided with meaningful formative feedback. The observations play a role in the annual review process similar to that of student in that information from the observation may be included as part of the evidence of teaching quality, but the form itself will not be submitted as part of the annual review documentation.

Name of faculty member being reviewed: Semester/Year: Date of observation: Course observed:

Name of reviewer:

Note: The 1/2/3/NA scale should be interpreted in the following manner:

- 1. 1 =Needs improvement in this area
- $2. \quad 2 = Good$
- 3. 3 = Exemplary
- 4. NA = Not applicable or no relevant observations

Basic Classroom Mechanics:

1.	The instructor started the class on time:	Yes		No	
2.	The instructor appeared to be organized and prepared for class:	1	2	3	NA
3.	The instructor demonstrated good rapport with the students:	1	2	3	NA
4.	The instructor maintained an appropriate level of control over the classroom:	1	2	3	NA
5.	The instructor used the following tools for content delivery: (Note – Instructors	are no	ot exp	ected	to use
	all of these.)		_		
	a. Whiteboard	Yes		No	
	b. PowerPoint or other presentation software	Yes		No	
	c. Document Camera	Yes		No	
	d. Physical props or manipulatives	Yes		No	
	e. Lecture Capture Technology	Yes		No	
	f. Other (specify):				

Comments and examples to support the assessments above:

Basic Instructor Mechanics:

1.	The instructor had an appropriate "stage presence" (spoke to the students				
	instead of the screen or floor, did not simply read the notes/slides to the				
	students, etc.):	1	2	3	NA
2.	The instructor's delivery was at an appropriate speed and with an				
	engaging tone:	1	2	3	NA
3.	The instructor's slides/board presentation was organized, functional,				
	and readable:	1	2	3	NA
4.	The instructor provided opportunities for students to seek clarification:	1	2	3	NA
5.	The instructor responded appropriately to student questions/responses:	1	2	3	NA

6.	The students generally appeared to be engaged in the course content:	1	2	3	NA
Comn	nents and examples to support the assessments above:				
as disc studer	e Learning: "Active learning techniques" includes both worksheets and other has cussions and interactive lecturing. However, interactive lecturing means more that to respond to questions that only require them to recall information, but to most cognitive activity (for example, using Bloom's taxonomy).	an sim	ply as	sking	
1. 2.	The instructor employed active learning techniques: The instructor provided meaningful prompts and/or activities that	1	2	3	NA
3.	encourage critical thinking: The active learning techniques were employed effectively:	1 1	2 2	3 3	NA NA
Comn	nents and examples to support the assessments above:				
	ent Interactions:				
1.	Students had a meaningful level of participation and interactivity in the				27.4
_	class:	1	2	3	NA
2.	A representative range of students participated in the class:	1	2	3	NA
Comn	nents and examples to support the assessments above:				
	as Pages: Note – Many of these expectations are met by using the standard LAS Presents necessary information on the front page:	temp	late.		
	a. Course name and title	Yes		No	
	b. Classroom meeting times and locations	Yes		No	
	c. Link to NSC Policies page	Yes		No	
	d. Link to course syllabus	Yes		No	
	e. Link to course materials	Yes		No	
2.	Syllabus is in a proper ADA compliant format (standard font sizes and				
	colors, no highlighted bars):	Yes		No	
3.	NSC policies are accurate and up-to-date:	Yes		No	
4.	The instructor is involved in discussions (if applicable)	1	2	3	NA
5.	The instructor uses the gradebook and keeps it current:	1	2	3	NA
6.	The pages are easy to navigate:	1	2	3	NA
Comn	nents and examples to support the assessments above:				

Recommendations:

Optional Response from Reviewed Faculty Member: